arXiv:hep-th/9109015v1 10 Sep 1991

On solutions to the string equation

Albert Schwarz
Department of Mathematics, University of California, Davis, CA 95616
ASSCHWARZ@UCDAVIS.EDU

Abstract

The set of solutions to the string equation $[P,Q]=1$ where P and Q are differential operators is described.It is shown that there exists one-to-one correspondence between this set and the set of pairs of commuting differential operators.This fact permits us to describe the set of solutions to the string equation in terms of moduli spa- ces of algebraic curves,however the direct description is much simpler. Some results are obtained for the superanalog to the string equation where P and Q are considered as super differential operators. It is proved that this equation is invariant with respect to Manin-Radul, Mulase-Rabin and Kac-van de Leur KP-hierarchies.

Let us consider ordinary differential operators

$$P=\sum_{m=1}^{p} a_m(x)\partial^m, \quad Q=\sum_{n=1}^{h} b_n(x)\partial^n \tag{1}$$

where $\partial=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, $a_m(x)$ and $b_n(x)$ are formal power series with complex coefficients. The approach to non-critical string theory based on the consideration of matrix models [1]-[3] led to the problem of description of all pairs P,Q satisfying $[P,Q]=1$ (see [4]). The equation $[P,Q]=1$ where P and Q are differential operators is known therefore as string equation. We will assume that the orders p and h of differential operators P and Q have no common divisors and that Q is monic (i.e. the leading coefficient $b_h(x)$ is equal to 1). The set of all pairs (P,Q) obeying these conditions will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{p,h}$.

Every monic operator $Q=\sum_{r=1}^{h} b_r(x)\partial^r$ can be normalized by means of transformation $Q\to\tilde{Q}=e^{\gamma}Qe^{-\gamma}$(i.e. one can make $b_{h-1}=0$).If $(P,Q)\in\mathcal{A}_{p,h}$ then $(\tilde{P},\tilde{Q})$ where $\tilde{P}=e^{\gamma}Pe^{-\gamma}$, $\tilde{Q}=e^{\gamma}Qe^{-\gamma}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}_{p,h}$ too. Therefore the study of the space $\mathcal{A}_{p,h}$ can be reduced to the study of the space $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$ consisting of pairs $(P,Q)\in\mathcal{A}_{p,h}$ where Q is normalized.

One of our aims is to describe the set $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$. We give the following description. Let us denote by $\mathcal{M}_{p,h}$ the space of polynomial $h\times h$ matrices $P=(P_{ij}(u))$ satisfying $p=\max_{1\le j\le h}(j-i+h\deg P_{ij})$ for every i. (Here $i,j=1,\dots,h,\deg P_{ij}$ denotes the degree of the polynomial $P_{ij}(u)$).

The group $\mathcal{T}_h$ of invertible triangular $h \times h$ matrices $T = (t_{ij})$, $t_{ij} = 0$ for $i < j$, acts on $\mathcal{M}_{p,h}$ by the formula $P \to TPT^{-1}$. We construct one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$ and h-fold covering of the quotient space $\mathcal{M}_{p,h}/\mathcal{T}_h$. This construction is based on some theorems that have other interesting applications too. In particular these theorems can be used to give very simple and natural proof of some results of [8], [9], [10].

The description of the set of solutions to the string equation can be formulated also in a different way. Let us consider a set of pairs (P, Q) of commuting differential operators: $[P, Q] = 0$ where Q is a monic normalized operator of order h, P is an operator of order p where p and h are relatively prime. The set of all such pairs will be denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$. We will prove there exists one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$ and h-fold covering of $\mathcal{M}_{p,h}/\mathcal{T}_h$ and therefore one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$. Using well known description of $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$ in the terms of moduli spaces of algebraic curves we obtain a similar description of $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$.

Let us define the space $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h}$ as the space of such operators Q that one can find P satisfying $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$. In the case when $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$ and $(P', Q) \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$ one can prove that $P' - P = \sum \sigma_k Q^k, \quad 0 \leq hk < p$. It follows from this fact that the description of $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$ given above leads to similar description of $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h}$. Namely one has to replace $\mathcal{M}_{p,h}$ by its subset $\mathcal{M}'_{p,h}$ singled out by the condition $TrP = 0$.

Our results can be generalized to the supersymmetric case; some generalizations will be sketched at the end of the paper.

The standard approach to the analysis of the string equation is based on the Sato theory of KP-hierarchy [6] (The most appropriate for our aims exposition of this theory is given in [7].) Our approach is based on more geometrical part of the same theory connected with infinite-dimensional Grassmannian Gr. Let us denote by H the space of formal Laurent series $\sum a_n z^n$, where $a_n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$. The subspace of H spanned by z^n with $n \geq 0$ will be denoted by H_+. If V is a linear subspace of H we will write $V \in Gr^{(0)}$ if the natural projection π_+ of V into H_+ is an isomorphism of V and H_+. (In other words $V \in Gr^{(0)}$ iff V has a basis of the form $\varphi_n = z^n$+lower order terms, $n \geq 0$). The set $Gr^{(0)}$ can be interpreted as the big cell of the Grassmannian Gr but this interpretation as well as the definition of Gr is not necessary for our aims.

One can prove the following theorem: There exists one-to-one correspondence between the set $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h}$ and the set $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ of the elements $V \in Gr^{(0)}$ satisfying

$$z^h V \subset V, \quad AV \subset V \tag{2}$$

for some operator A having the form

$$A = \frac{d}{d(z^h)} + \sum_{k=-h} \alpha_k z^k, \quad \alpha_k = 0 \ \text{ for } k > p, \ \alpha_p \neq 0. \tag{3}$$

The proof of this theorem was worked out in discussions with Jeff Rabin.[1] It is based on the notion of pseudodifferential operator (ΨDO). By definition an expression of the form $S = \sum_{i \le k} s_i(x)\partial^i$ is called a pseudodifferential operator of order k. This operator is monic if $s_k(x) = 1$, it is normalized if $s_{k-1}(x) = 0$. (Here $s_i(x)$ are formal power series). The pseudodifferential operators form an algebra $\mathcal{P}$; monic pseudodifferential operators of order 0 form a group; we will denote this group by $\mathcal{G}$. One can define an action of the algebra $\mathcal{P}$ on the space H assuming that $x^m\partial^n \in \mathcal{P}$ transforms $\varphi \in H$ into $(\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dz})^m(iz)^n\varphi \in H$. It is well known that every $V \in Gr^{(0)}$ can be represented in the form $V = SH_+$ where $S \in \mathcal{G}$. If $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ then (2) can be interpreted as invariance of V with respect to the action of ΨDO's ∂^h and $\hat{A}$ where

$$\hat{A} = h^{-1}i^h\partial^{1-h} \cdot x + \sum \alpha_k(\frac{1}{i}\partial)^k, \quad \alpha_k = 0 \text{ for } k > p, \ \alpha_p \neq 0 \tag{4}$$

This means that the operators $Q = S^{-1}\partial^h S$ and $P = S^{-1}\hat{A}S$ transform H_+ into itself. It is well known that ΨDO transforming H_+ into itself is a differential operator and therefore our construction gives an element of $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h}$ for every h

Conversely if Q is a monic normalized differential operator of order h one can find such an operator $S \in \mathcal{G}$ that $\partial^h = SQS^{-1}$ in the algebra $\mathcal{A}$. If P is a differential operator of order p and satisfies $[P, Q] = 1$ then $\hat{A} = SPS^{-1}$ is a pseudodifferential operator obeying $[\hat{A}, \partial^h] = 1$ and therefore can be represented in the form (4). There is a freedom in the choice of the operator S satisfying $\partial^h = SQS^{-1}$. Namely, this operator can be replaced by RS where $R = \sum_{i=0} c_i\partial^{-i}$ is a monic ΨDO with constant coefficients. One can use this freedom to obtain an operator $\hat{A}$ obeying $\alpha_i = 0$ for $i < -h$. It is easy to check that the space $V = SH_+$ obtained by this choice of S belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$. This assertion permit us to identify $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$.

It is clear that in the definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ we can assume without loss of generality that in (3) $\alpha_k = 0$ for $k = 0, h, 2h, \ldots$. (If $AV \subset V$, $z^hV \subset V$ and $A' = A - \sum \alpha_{ih}z^{ih}$ then $A'V \subset V$.) We will impose this condition on the operator (3); then one can prove that for $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ there exists only one operator A of the form (3) satisfying $AV \subset V$. Note that $\alpha_{-h} \neq 0$; we will prove that $\alpha_{-h} = -(h-1)/2$. The numbers $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(V)$ depend on the choice of V if i is not divisible by h.

We will use the theorem above to prove some general statements about the solutions to the string equation and to give an effective description of $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$.

First of all, it follows immediately from the identification of $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ that the string equation is invariant with respect to KP-flow. The r-th KP-flow

[1] This theorem is closely related to the results of [11–13]. After completion of this paper I was informed that another direct proof of this theorem was given by M. Fukuma, H. Kawai, and R. Nakayama, preprint UT-582, May 1991.

on Gr is described by the formula

$$\frac{d}{dt_r}V = z^r V, \quad V \in Gr \tag{5}$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_h = \bigcup_p \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ is invariant with respect to the KP-flow on Gr. Really if $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ satisfies $AV \subset V$ then the space $V(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$ satisfies $A(t_1, t_2, \ldots)V(t_1, t_2, \ldots) \subset V(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$ where $A(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$ has the form (3) and can be determined by the equations

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t_r} = [z^r, A] = -\frac{r}{h} z^{-h+r}. \tag{6}$$

It follows from (6) that the coefficients α_i in the representation (3) for A satisfy

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_r}\alpha_i = -\frac{r}{h}\delta_{i,r-h}. \tag{7}$$

We see that

$$\alpha_i(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = \alpha_i - \frac{i+h}{h} t_{i+h}. \tag{8}$$

The correspondence $S \to V = S^{-1}H_+$ between the group $\mathcal{G}$ of monic zeroth order ΨDO and $Gr^{(0)}$ gives KP-flow on $\mathcal{G}$:

$$\frac{dS}{dt_r} = -(S\partial^r S^{-1})_- S \tag{9}$$

where $B_- = B - B_+$, B_+ denotes the differential part of ΨDO B. For $L = S^{-1}\partial S$ we obtain the standard equation of KP-hierarchy

$$\frac{dL}{dt_r} = [(L^r)_+, L]. \tag{10}$$

If $z^h V \subset V$ then the operator $Q = S^{-1}\partial^h S$ transforms H_+ into itself and therefore Q is a differential operator. The correspondence between $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ and $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h}$ constructed above shows that $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h}$ is invariant with respect to the h-reduced KP-hierarchy:

$$\frac{dQ}{dt_r} = [(Q^{\frac{r}{h}})_+, Q], \; r \le p + h, \; r \ne kh, \tag{11}$$

This fact is proved in [10]; the relation (8) agrees with the results of [10]. The partition function of 2D-gravity is connected with the τ-function $\tau_V(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$ corresponding to the element $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$. The relation (2) means that this τ-function does not depend on $t_h, t_{2h}, \ldots$ and satisfies

$$L_k \tau = 0, \quad k \ge -1, \tag{12}$$

where

$$L_k = \frac{1}{h}\sum_{2\alpha<kh} J_\alpha J_{kh-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2h}J^2_{kh/2} + \frac{h^2-1}{24h}\delta_{k0} + \sum a_\alpha J_{(k+1)h+\alpha}, \qquad (13)$$

$J_\alpha = \partial/\partial t_\alpha$ for $\alpha > 0$, J_α is a multiplication by $\alpha t_{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha < 0$. This assertion is proved in [11], [12], but used in different direction. Namely the equation (13) proved in [8], [9] was used in [11], [12] to describe the element of Sato Grassmannian corresponding to the τ-function arising in 2D-gravity. Now we see that (12) as well as more general W-constraints on the τ-function is a consequence of the following remark: if V satisfies (2) then

$$z^{kh}A^lV \subset V, \quad k \geq 0, \quad l \geq 0 \qquad (14)$$

(The constraints (12) correspond to $l = 1$. See [13] for the analysis of W-constraints.)

Now we will turn to the effective description of the set $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$. Let us consider an element $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ and the vectors

$\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_h \in V$ having the form

$$\varphi_k = c_k z^{k-1} + \text{lower order terms}, \quad c_k \neq 0. \qquad (15)$$

It follows from $z^hV \subset V$ that the vector $z^{sh}\varphi_i$, $\quad i = 1, \ldots, h$; $\quad s = 0, 1, \ldots,$ belong to V. Taking into account that $AV \subset V$ we see that

$$A\varphi_i = \sum_j P_{ij}(z^h)\varphi_j, \quad 1 \leq i,\ j \leq h, \qquad (16)$$

where P_{ij} are polynomials. We can simplify the equations (16) by the substitution $\psi_i = \rho\varphi_i$ where $\rho(z)$ is a function satisfying $(d/dz^h)\cdot\hat{\rho} = \hat{\rho}A$ (here $\hat{\rho}$ denotes the operator of multiplication by ρ). The substitution leads to the equations of the form (16) with A replaced by d/dz^h. Then we make the substitution $\tau = z^{-i+1}\varphi_i$ and obtain

$$\frac{d\tau_i}{dz} = \sum_j B_{ij}(z)\tau_j(z) \qquad (17)$$

where

$$B_{ij}(z) = hz^{j-i+h-1}P_{ij}(z^h) - (i-1)z^{-1}\delta_{ij} \qquad (18)$$

The functions (or,more precisely, formal expressions) $\tau_i(z)$ have the form

$$\tau_i(z) = \rho(z)\cdot(t_{i0} + t_{i1}z^{-1} + t_{i2}z^{-2} + \ldots), \quad t_{i0} \neq 0 \qquad (19)$$

where

$$\rho(z) = \exp R(z), \quad \frac{dR}{d(z^h)} = \sum_{k=-h} \alpha_k z^k$$

$$R(z) = h\alpha_{-h} \ln z + h \sum_{k=-h+1} \frac{\alpha_k}{k+h} z^{k+h} \tag{20}$$

The equations for ψ_i are invariant with respect to the transformation $z \to \epsilon z$,where $\epsilon^h = 1$, $\epsilon^k \neq 1$ for $0 < k < h$. Therefore the system (17) is invariant by the substitution $z \to \epsilon z$, $\tau_k \to \epsilon^{-k}\tau_k$. If we know a solution $\tau(z) = (\tau_1(z), \ldots, \tau_h(z))$ of the system (17) this invariance permits us to find a family of solutions

$$\tau^{(\lambda)}(z) = (\epsilon^{-\lambda}\tau_1(\epsilon^\lambda z), \ldots, \epsilon^{-h\lambda}\tau_h(\epsilon^\lambda z)), \quad \lambda = 0, 1, \ldots, h-1. \tag{21}$$

We assumed that $\alpha_p z^p$ is the leading term in $\sum \alpha_k z^k$ and that p and h have no common divisors, therefore the solutions (21) are linearly independent. Let us consider the wronskian

$$W(z) = \det(\epsilon^{-k\lambda}\tau_k(\epsilon^\lambda z)). \tag{22}$$

We obtain by means of (19), (20), that

$$W(z) = \exp(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{h-1} R(\epsilon^\alpha z))\sigma(z) = \exp(h\alpha_{-h} \ln z)\sigma(z) \tag{23}$$

where $\sigma(z) = \sigma_0 + \sigma_1 z^{-1} + \sigma_2 z^{-2} + \ldots$. We used the equation

$$\sum_{\lambda=0}^{h-1} (\epsilon^\lambda z)^k = 0 \ \text{ if } k \text{ is not divisible by } h \tag{24}$$

and our assumption $\alpha_r = 0$ for $r = 0, h, 2h \ldots$. From the other side it is well known that

$$W(z) = W(0) \exp \int_0^z TrB(z)dz. \tag{25}$$

Taking into account that

$$TrB(z) = hz^{h-1} TrP(z^h) - \frac{h(h-1)}{2} z^{-1} \tag{26}$$

we obtain

$$W(z) = W(0) \exp(\int_0^{z^h} TrP(u)du - \frac{h(h-1)}{2} \ln z). \tag{27}$$

Comparing (27) and (23) we conclude that

$$TrP = \sum_i P_{ii}(u) = 0, \tag{28}$$

$$\alpha_{-h} = \frac{h-1}{2}. \tag{29}$$

In such a way for every element $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ we constructed a polynomial matrix P_{ij}. The construction was based on the choice of vectors $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_h \in V$ satisfying (15). Of course we can replace these vectors by $\tilde{\varphi}_i = \sum t_{ij}\varphi_j$ where $T = (t_{ij})$ is an invertible constant triangular matrix ($t_{ij} = 0$ for $i < j$). This change of vectors $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_h$ induces the change of the matrix $P = (P_{ij})$ by the formula:

$$\tilde{P} = TPT^{-1}. \tag{30}$$

The matrix P corresponding to an element $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ satisfies (28). The degree of the leading term $\tilde{B}$ of the matrix $B = (B_{ij})$ is equal to $s+h-1$ where

$$s = \max_{1 \le i,j \le h} (j - i + h \deg P_{ij})$$

The matrix $\tilde{B}$ has the form

$$\tilde{B}_{ij} = \beta_i \delta_{i,j-s} z^{s+h-1}$$

(We consider here the indices i, j as residues mod h.) The leading terms t_{i0} in the expressions (19) for $\tau_i(z)$ satisfy the equation

$$\sum_j \beta_i \delta_{i,j-s} t_{j,0} = \alpha_s t_{i,0}.$$

It follows immediately from the condition $t_{i,0} \neq 0$ that $\alpha_s \neq 0$ and $\beta_i \neq 0$ for every $i = 1, \dots, h$. It is easy to check that $\alpha_s z^s$ is the leading term in the sum $\sum \alpha_i z^i$ and therefore $s = p$ and h have no common divisors. The matrix $\tilde{B}$ has exactly one non-zero entry in every row (this follows from $\beta_i \neq 0$). The information about the matrix $\tilde{B}$ obtained above is equivalent to the following statement:

$$p = \max_{1 \le j \le h} (j - i + h \deg P_{ij}) \text{ for every } i,\ 1 \le i \le h. \tag{31}$$

One can prove that the conditions (28) and (31) are sufficient to assert that the matrix P_{ij} corresponds to an element $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$. The proof repeats the arguments of [12]. We have to prove that (17) has a formal solution of the form (19) where the numbers α_k in the expression (20) satisfy $\alpha_k = 0$ for $k = 0, h, 2h, \dots$. Then it is easy to check that the formal powers series $z^{sh}\varphi_i$, $s = 0, 1, \dots, h$, where $\varphi_i = z^{i-1}\rho^{-1}\tau_i$, span a space $V \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$. The construction of solutions to (17) is based on the remark that the eigenvalues of the leading term of the matrix $B(z)$ are distinct. This follows from the condition (31). Really, the invariance of (17) with respect to the transformation $z \to \epsilon z$, $\tau_k \to \epsilon^{-k}\tau_k$ leads to the following property of $B(z)$:

$$\epsilon B(\epsilon z) = \Pi B(z) \Pi^{-1} \tag{32}$$

where Π is a matrix with the entries $\pi_{ik} = \epsilon^{-(i-1)}\delta_{ik}$. If the leading term $\tilde{B}$ of $B(z)$ is $B_{m-1}z^{m-1}$ we obtain from (32) that

$$\epsilon^m B_{m-1} = \Pi B_{m-1}\Pi^{-1} \tag{33}$$

If $B_{m-1}l = \lambda l$ then $\Pi^k l$ is an eigenvector of B_{m-1} with an eigenvalue $\epsilon^{-km}\lambda$. We obtain h distinct eigenvalues of B_{m-1} in this way. (We use that $m = s + h$ and h are relatively prime.)

Using perturbation theory we can find formal expansions (Laurent series) for eigenvalues $\lambda_1(z), \dots, \lambda_h(z)$ of $B(z)$. It follows from (33) that we can label these eigenvalues in such a way that

$$\epsilon\lambda_k(\epsilon z) = \lambda_{k+1}(z). \tag{34}$$

If $\lambda_1 = \sum \nu_i z^i$ we have

$$TrB(z) = \sum \lambda_k(z) = \sum \epsilon^{k-1}\lambda_1(\epsilon^{k-1}z) = \sum \epsilon^{(k-1)(i+1)}\nu_i z^i = \sum h\nu_{nh-1}z^{nh-1}. \tag{35}$$

Taking into account (26) and (28) we get

$$TrB(z) = -\frac{h(h-1)}{2}z^{-1}$$

and therefore $\nu_{nh-1} = 0$ for $n > 0$.

In the case when the eigenvalues of the leading term of $B(z)$ are distinct one can construct formal

solutions to (17) in the form (19) where $\rho(z) = \int \lambda_k(z)dz$. The condition (31) gives that $t_{k0} \neq 0$. It follows from $\nu_{nh-1} = 0$ that for these solutions $\alpha_{kh} = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$ in the formula (20) and therefore using these solutions one can construct elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$. In such a way for every polynomial $h \times h$ matrix $P = (P_{ij})$ satisfying (28) and (31) we can construct h

elements of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ labeled by eigenvalues of the leading term $\tilde{B}$ of the matrix B. Two matrices P and P' correspond to the same element of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ in the case when they are connected by the formula $P' = TPT^{-1}$ where T is a triangular matrix (and only in this case). Using the notation $\mathcal{M}'_{p,h}$ for the space $h \times h$ matrices satisfying (28) and (31) we can identify $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$, with h-fold covering of $\mathcal{M}'_{p,h}/\mathcal{T}_h$, where $\mathcal{T}_h$ is the group of constant triangular matrices.

Thus, we gave a description of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$ and, therefore, a description of the moduli space $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$. Our next aim is to study the connection between $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$ and the moduli space of Riemann surfaces (of algebraic curves). We will begin from the study of pairs of commuting differential operators:$[P, Q] = 0$, where Q is a monic normalized differential operator. Let us denote by $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$ the space of pairs of commuting differential operators P, Q where $\mathrm{ord}P = p$, $\mathrm{ord}Q = h$, and p, h are relatively prime. We will prove that there exists one-to-one correspondence

between $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$. It will be more convenient for us to work with the space $\mathcal{N}'_{p,h}$ obtained from $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$ by means of identification $(P,Q) \sim (P + \sum \alpha_k Q^k, Q)$ and construct one-to-one correspondence between $\mathcal{N}'_{p,h}$ and $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h}$. The first step is the well known construction connecting commutative rings of differential operators with rings of functions. Let us consider ΨDO S satisfying $\partial^h = SQS^{-1}$ in the algebra $\mathcal{P}$. (We have mentioned that such an operator exists and is defined up to multiplication from the left by monic ΨDO $\sum a_i \partial^{-i}$ with constant coefficients a_i.) Then the space $V = SH_+ \in Gr^{(0)}$ is invariant with respect to the action of ΨDO's ∂^h and SPS^{-1}. The action of ∂^h in H can be interpreted as multiplication by z^h and the action of SPS^{-1} can be considered as multiplication by a series $b(z) = \sum b_i z^i \in H$ (because SPS^{-1} commutes with ∂^h). The degree of the leading term $b_p z^p$ of $b(z)$ is equal to the order of the operator P and therefore it is relatively prime to h. If we replace the pair (P,Q) by $(P + \sum \alpha_k Q^k, Q)$ the series $b(z)$ changes to $b(z) = \sum \alpha_k z^{hk}$ and therefore we can make $b_k = 0$ for $k = 0, h, 2h, \ldots$.

Let us define by $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$ the set of all pairs (b,V) where $V \in Gr^{(0)},\ b(z) = \sum b_i z^i \in H,\ b_i = 0$ for $i = 0, h, 2h, \ldots$ the degree p of the leading term of $b(z)$ is relatively prime to $h,\ z^h V \subset V,\ b(z)V \subset V$. The group of monic ΨDO's with constant coefficients acts in $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$ (this action can be realized by means of multiplication by formal Laurent series $1 + c_1 z^{-1} + c_2 z^{-2} + \ldots$). The space of orbits of this action will be denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$. The construction above gives one-to-one correspondence between $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$. To prove this fact one has to construct the inverse map, taking into account that for every $V \in Gr^{(0)}$ there exists a monic zeroth order ΨDO S satisfying $V = SH_+$. (Transforming ΨDO's corresponding to the multiplication by $b(z)$ with the help of operator S we obtain commuting ΨDO's. These ΨDO's preserve H_+ and therefore can be considered as differential operators.)

Our next problem is to describe the set $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$. Let us take a pair $(b,V) \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$ and the elements $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_h \in V$ satisfying $\varphi_i = z^{i-1}$+lower order terms. It is clear that

$$b(z)\varphi_i = \sum_j P_{ij}(z^h)\varphi_j \tag{36}$$

where P_{ij} are polynomials with respect to z^h. Introducing the notation $\sigma_i = z^{1-i}\varphi_i$ we can write (36) in the form

$$b(z)\sigma_i = \sum_j C_{ij}\sigma_j \tag{37}$$

where

$$C_{ij} = z^{j-i}P_{ij}(z^h). \tag{38}$$

The matrix $C(z)$ is related to the matrix $B(z)$ by the formula $B(z) = hz^{h-1}C(z) - (i-1)z^{-1}\delta_{ij}$ and has similar symmetry properties. Namely,

$$C(\epsilon z) = \Pi C(z) \Pi^{-1}. \tag{39}$$

We see from (37) that $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_h)$ is a formal eigenvector of $C(z)$ with the eigenvalue $b(z)$. It follows from (39) that C has also eigenvalues $\lambda(z) = b(\epsilon^k z) = \sum b_n \epsilon^{kn} z^n$ corresponding to the eigenfunctions $\Pi^{-k}\sigma(\epsilon^k z)$.

Using (24) we get

$$TrC(z) = \sum_{1 \le k \le h} \lambda_k(z) = \sum_{1 \le k \le h} \sum_n b_n \epsilon^{kn} z^n = \sum b_{ih} z^{ih} \tag{40}$$

Noting that $b_{ih} = 0$ for $i \ge 0$ and that $TrC(z)$ is a polynomial we obtain

$$TrC(z) = TrP(z) = 0 \tag{41}$$

We see that the matrix $P(z)$ satisfies (28). Slight modification of the arguments above shows also that $P(z)$ satisfies (31). Taking into account that the vectors $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_h$ are determined up to triangular linear transformation we see that assigning the matrix $P = (P_{ij})$ to the pair $(b, V) \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$ we obtain a map from $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$ into $\mathcal{M}_{p,h}/\mathcal{T}_h$. It is easy to check that this map induces

a map from $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$ into $\mathcal{M}'_{p,h}/\mathcal{T}_h$. Conversely, if the matrix P_{ij} satisfies (28),(31) we can assert that the leading term of the matrix $C(z)$ has distinct eigenvalues and therefore one can construct eigenvalues $b(z)$ and eigenvectors $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_h$ of the matrix $C(z)$ as formal power series using standard methods of perturbation theory. Knowing these eigenvalues and eigenvectors we can reconstruct a point of $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$ corresponding to the matrix P_{ij}. (For every matrix P_{ij} we can construct h eigenvalues labeled by the eigenvalues of the leading term of $C(z)$. The eigenvectors are specified up to a factor of the form $1 + a_1 z^{-1} + a_2 z^{-2} + \dots$, therefore we obtain a point in $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$ instead of a point in $\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$.) In such a way we obtain an identification of $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$ with h-fold covering of $\mathcal{M}'_{p,h}/\mathcal{T}_h$ and therefore an identification of $\mathcal{N}'_{p,h} = \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$ with $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h} = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$.

In such a way we described the set $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$ of solutions to the string equation $[P, Q] = 1$ and constructed one-to-one correspondence between this set and the set $\mathcal{N}_{p,h}$ of pairs of commuting differential operators. It is well known that the pairs of commuting differential operators are closely related to algebraic curves. Namely if $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{N}_{p,h}$ the operators P and Q are connected by polynomial relation $F(P, Q) = 0$. This relation determines an algebraic curve. Conversely let us take an algebraic curve K and a line bundle L over K. If $\deg L = \text{genus}(K) - 1$ one can construct pairs $(b, V) \in \hat{\mathcal{N}}_{p,h}$ by means of Krichever construction. (For detailed explanation of the connection between the set of commuting pairs of differential operators and the moduli space of algebraic curves see for example [7]).

It is worthy to mention that it was suggested to consider pairs of differential operators satisfying $[P, Q] = 1$ as quantum analogs of Riemann surfaces (see e.g. [5]). One can interprete $\mathcal{Q}_{p,h}$ as moduli space of quantum Riemann surfaces.

However more natural definition of the moduli space of quantum Riemann surfaces requires identifications $(P,Q) \sim (P + \sum \sigma_i Q^i, Q)$ and $(P,Q) \sim (Q,P)$. If only the first of these identifications is taken into account then the moduli space coincides with $\mathcal{Q}'_{p,h} = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{p,h}$.

It is important to stress that the consideration above can be generalized to the supersymmetric case by means of results of [16], [17]. There are different superanalogs of the string equation (see [15] for detailed analysis). Here we will study only the equation $[P,Q] = 1$ where P and Q are even superdifferential operators, assuming that the operator Q is monic and normalized. In other words $P = \sum a_k(x,\xi)\partial^k + \sum \alpha_k(x,\xi)\partial^k\partial_\xi$, $Q = \sum b_k(x,\xi)\partial^k + \sum \beta_k(x,\xi)\partial^k\partial_\xi$, $b_h(x,\xi) = 1$, $b_k(x,\xi) = 0$ for $k > h$ and $k = h-1$, $b_k(x,\xi) = 0$ for $k \geq h-1$. (Here x is an even variable, ξ is an odd variable, $\partial = \partial/\partial x$, ∂_ξ denotes the left derivative with respect to ξ, $a_k(x,\xi)$ and $b_k(x,\xi)$ are even formal power series and $\alpha_k(x,\xi)$, $\beta_k(x,\xi)$ are odd formal power series.) The set of all pairs of even superdifferential operators satisfying the conditions above will be denoted by $\mathcal{Q}_h$.

The superanalog of the space H is the space of all formal Laurent series $\sum a_n z^n + \sum \alpha_n z^n \theta$ where $a_n = \alpha_n = 0$ for $n \gg 0$, z is even, θ is odd. The superanalog of $Gr^{(0)}$ is the set of linear subspaces of H having a basis $\varphi_1,\ \hat{\varphi}_1,\ \varphi_2,\ \hat{\varphi}_2, \ldots$, where

$$\varphi_i = z^{i-1} + \sum_{k>0} b_{ik} z^{-k} + \sum_{k<0} \beta_{ik} z^{-k}\theta$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_i = z^{i-1}\theta + \sum_{k>0} \gamma_{ik} z^{-k} + \sum_{k>0} c_{ik} z^{-k}\theta.$$

We will use the same notations H and $Gr^{(0)}$ for these objects. Let us consider an element $V \in Gr^{(0)}$ satisfying $z^h V \subset V$, $AV \subset V$, where

$$A = h^{-1} z^{1-h} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \sum s_k z^k + \sum \sigma_k z^k \theta + \sum \nu_k z^k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \sum v_k z^k \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \quad (42)$$

$s_k = \sigma_k = \nu_k = v_k = 0$ for $i \gg 0$. The set of all pairs (A,V) satisfying the conditions will be denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_h$.

Given a pair $(A,V) \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_h$ one can construct an element of $\mathcal{Q}_h$; all elements of $\mathcal{Q}_h$ can be obtained by means of this construction. The proof of this statement is based on the notion of super ΨDO [14] and on the results of [17]. Super ΨDO can be defined as an expression $S = \sum c_i(x,\xi)\partial^i + \sum \gamma_i(x,\xi)\partial^i\partial_\xi$ where $c_i(x,\xi)$ and $\gamma_i(x,\xi)$ are formal power series with respect to even variable x and odd variable ξ, $c_i(x,\xi) = \gamma_i(x,\xi) = 0$ for $i \gg 0$. Super ΨDO's act on H by means of Fourier transformation (i.e. ∂ and ∂_ξ act as multiplication by iz and by θ correspondingly; x and ξ act as $i^{-1}\partial/\partial z$ and $\partial/\partial\theta$). Monic zeroth order ΨDO's constitute a group G (i.e. $S \in G$ iff $c_i = \gamma_i = 0$ for $i > 0$, $c_0 = 1$, $\gamma_0 = 0$). It is proved in [17] that for every $V \in Gr^{(0)}$ there exists

unique operator $S \in G$ obeying $V = S^{-1}H_+$. (Here H_+ denotes a subspace of H spanned by $z^n,\ z^n\theta,\ n \geq 0$.)

If $(A, V) \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_h$ we can construct a pair $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{Q}_h$ by the formulas $P = S^{-1}\hat{A}S,\ Q = S^{-1}i^{-h}\partial^h S$. (Here $S \in G,\ V = S^{-1}H_+,\ \hat{A}$ denotes the ΨDO corresponding to the operator A.) Every pair $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{Q}_h$ can be obtained by means of this construction.

The generalization of KP-hierarchy to the supersymmetric case was given by Manin and Radul [14] and by Mulase [17] and Rabin [18]. We will show that $\mathcal{Q}_h$ is invariant with respect to KP-flows both in Manin-Radul and Mulase-Rabin sense. To prove the invariance with respect to Manin-Radul KP-flows we consider an operator

$$R(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i D^i \tag{43}$$

where $D = \partial_\xi + \xi\partial,\ (t_1, t_3, \ldots)$ are odd parameters,

$(t_2, t_4, \ldots)$ are even parameters. Let us introduce the notations

$$V(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = e^{R(t_1, t_2, \ldots)}V,$$
$$\hat{A}(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = e^{R(t_1, t_2, \ldots)}\hat{A}e^{-R(t_1, t_2, \ldots)}$$

It is easy to check that ΨDO $\hat{A}(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$ acts on H as an operator $A(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$ having the form (42) with the coefficients

$$s_k(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = s_k - \frac{h+k}{h} i^{h+k} t_{2(k+h)}, \sigma_k(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = \sigma_k - \frac{h+k}{h} i^{h+k} t_{2(k+h)+1},$$

$$\nu_k(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = \nu_k - \frac{h+k}{h} i^{h+k} t_{2(k+h)-1}, v_k(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = v_k$$

Using this fact and the remark that R commutes with ∂^h we conclude that $(A(t_1, t_2, \ldots),\ V(t_1, t_2, \ldots)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_h$. Let us define $S(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$ as an element of G satisfying $V(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = S^{-1}(t_1, t_2, \ldots)H_+$.In other words

$$S(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = Se^{-R(t_1, t_2, \ldots)}$$

where $S \in G$ obeys $V = S^{-1}H_+$. One can conclude from the results of [17] that $S(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$ obeys equations equivalent to the Manin-Radul KP-hierarchy. More precisely one can show that the operator

$$L(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = S^{-1}(t_1, t_2, \ldots)DS(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$$

satisfies Manin-Radul KP-hierarchy. The pair $(P(t_1, t_2, \ldots), Q(t_1, t_2, \ldots))$ where

$$P(t_1, t_2, \ldots) = S^{-1}(t_1, t_2, \ldots)\hat{A}S(t_1, t_2, \ldots),$$

$$Q(t_1,t_2,\ldots)=S^{-1}(t_1,t_2,\ldots)i^{-h}\partial^h S(t_1,t_2,\ldots)=i^{-h}L^{2h}(t_1,t_2,\ldots)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{Q}_h$.

In such a way we proved the invariance of the superstring equation with respect to Manin-Radul KP-flows. (Note that this statement does not contradict to [10] because our definitions are slightly different: we do not assume that P is a fractional power of Q.) In the case of Mulase-Rabin KP-hierarchy one can use the same arguments replacing the operator (43) by the operator

$$T(t_1,t_2,\ldots)=\sum t_{2m}\partial^m+\sum t_{2m+1}\partial^m\partial_\xi.$$

and using the fact that for $S\in G$ the operator $S(t_1,t_2,\ldots)=Se^{-T(t_1,t_2,\ldots)}$ is a solution to the Mulase-Rabin KP-hierarchy.

We described the symmetries of supergeneralization of the string equation corresponding to the operators (43), (44). It is clear that every ΨDO commuting with ∂^h generates such a symmetry. In other words(infinitesimal) symmetry transformations of this equation correspond to ΨDO's

$$\sum s_k\partial^k+\sum\sigma_k\partial^k\partial_\xi+\sum\nu_k\xi\partial^k+\sum v_k\xi\partial^k\partial_\xi.$$

Corresponding Lie superalgebra can be identified with the Lie algebra $\tilde{gl}_{1|1}$ consisting of Laurent series $\sum g_n z^n$ where $g_n\in gl_{1|1}$. (Here $gl_{1|1}$ denotes the Lie superalgebra consisting of linear transformations

of (1|1)-dimensional linear superspace.) These more general symmetry transformations are connected with Kac-van de Leur version of super KP-hierarchy.

I am indebted to M. Mulase and J. Rabin for useful discussions.

References.

1. Brezin, E., Kazakov, V., Phys. Lett. 236 B (1990) 144
2. Douglas, M., Shenker, S., Nucl.Phys., B335(1990)635
3. Gross, D., Migdal, A., Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 127
4. Douglas, M., Phys. Lett. 238 B (1990) 176
5. Moore, G., Comm. Math. Phys., 133 (1990) 26
6. Sato, N., RIMS Kokyuroku, 439 (1981) 30
7. Mulase, M., International J. of Math., 1 (1990) 293
8. Dijkgraaf, R., Verlinde, E. and H., IAS preprint (1990)
9. Fukuma, M., Kawai, H., Nakayama, R., Univ. of Tokyo preprint, UT-562 (1990)
10. Di Francesko, P., Kutasov, D., PUPT-1206 (1990)
11. Kac, V., Schwarz, A, Phys. Lett. 257 B (1991) 329
12. Schwarz, A., Mod. Phys. Lett. A6 (1991) 611
13. Fukuma, M., Kawai, H., Nakayama, R., Univ. of Tokyo preprint, UT-572 (1990)
14. Manin, Yu., Radul, A., Comm. Math. Phys. 98 (1985) 65

15. Mulase, M., Schwarz, A., in preparation
16. Mulase, M., Invent. Math. 92 (1988) 1
17. Mulase, M., UC Davis preprint (1990) J. Diff. Geom. to appear
18. Rabin, J, UCSD preprint (1990) Comm. Math. Phys. to appear